

Discovery of Highly Potent Human Deoxyuridine Triphosphatase Inhibitors Based on the Conformation Restriction Strategy

Seiji Miyahara,†,‡ Hitoshi Miyakoshi,†,§ Tatsushi Yokogawa,† Khoon Tee Chong,† Junko Taguchi,† Toshiharu Muto,† Kanji Endoh,† Wakako Yano,† Takeshi Wakasa,† Hiroyuki Ueno,† Yayoi Takao,† Akio, Fujioka,† Akihiro Hashimoto,† Kenjirou Itou,† Keisuke Yamamura,† Makoto Nomura,† Hideko Nagasawa,§ Satoshi Shuto,‡ and Masayoshi Fukuoka*,†

[†]Tsuk[uba](#page-12-0) Research Center, Taiho Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Okubo 3, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 300-2611, Japan ‡ Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hokkaido University, Kita-12, Nishi-6, Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0812, Japan § Laboratory of Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Chemistry, Gifu Pharmaceutical University, 1-25-4 Daigaku-nishi, Gifu 501-1196, Japan

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-12-0)STRACT: [Human deox](#page-12-0)yuridine triphosphatase (dUT-Pase) inhibition is a promising approach to enhance the efficacy of thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitor based chemotherapy. In this study, we describe the discovery of a novel class of human dUTPase inhibitors based on the conformation restriction strategy. On the basis of the X-ray cocrystal structure of dUTPase and its inhibitor compound 7, we designed and synthesized two conformation restricted analogues, i.e., compounds 8 and 9. These compounds

exhibited increased in vitro potency compared with the parent compound 7. Further structure−activity relationship (SAR) studies identified a compound 43 with the highest in vitro potency (IC₅₀ = 39 nM, EC₅₀ = 66 nM). Furthermore, compound 43 had a favorable oral PK profile and exhibited potent antitumor activity in combination with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in the MX-1 breast cancer xenograft model. These results suggested that a dUTPase inhibitor may have potential for clinical usage.

■ INTRODUCTION

Thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitors such as 5-fluorouracil (5- FU), its analogues, and folate analogues are widely used in clinical chemotherapy.1−⁴ However, acquired and intrinsic resistance still remains a limitation to the clinical use of TS inhibitors.⁵ Therefore, [nov](#page-12-0)el therapeutic strategies to improve the efficacy of TS inhibitor based chemotherapy are urgently required.

TS inhibitors lead to rapid depletion of the cellular 2′ deoxyuridine 5′-triphosphate (dTTP) pool, inducing thymineless death. In addition, TS inhibition results in the accumulation of 2′-deoxyuridine 5′-monophosphate (dUMP), which may subsequently lead to increased levels of 2′ deoxyuridine 5'-triphosphate $(dUTP)$.^{6,7} dUTP can be misincorporated into DNA in place of dTTP during replication and repair by DNA polymerase.⁸ High c[ellu](#page-12-0)lar dUTP/dTTP ratios induce futile cycles of misincorporation, which eventually lead to DNA strand breaks and c[ell](#page-12-0) death. This is one of the key antitumor mechanisms of TS inhibitors. $9-13$

dUTPase catalyzes the hydrolysis of dUTP to dUMP and has two functions in nucleotide metabol[ism:](#page-12-0) it decreases the number of intracellular dUTP pools to prevent misincorporation of uracil instead of thymine into DNA, and it supplies the substrate dUMP for TS, which is responsible for an important de novo nucleotide metabolism pathway in DNA synthesis.^{14−17}

Since dUTPase can also hydrolyze $FdUTP₁¹⁸$ increased dUTPase activity induces resistance to 5-FU and its analogues. Genetically induced expression of dUTPase conf[ers](#page-12-0) resistance to 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine (FdUrd) in human tumor cells,19−²¹ and small interfering RNA (siRNA) silencing of dUTPase in SW620 and MCF-7 cells significantly enhances the gro[wth](#page-12-0) [in](#page-13-0)hibition activity of FdUrd by perturbing (F)dUTP/ dTTP levels.12 On the other hand, a high level of dUTPase expression is associated with resistance to not only 5-FU and its analogues b[ut f](#page-12-0)olate analogues.²²

Several clinical studies show that nuclear dUTPase levels correlate with poor survival out[com](#page-13-0)es in colorectal cancer²³ and hepatocelluar carcinoma $(HCC).^{24}$ These findings demonstrate that human dUTPase inhibitors may be useful as [clin](#page-13-0)ical medicines that enhance antican[ce](#page-13-0)r activity of TS inhibitors. Thus, efforts to obtain efficient dUTPase inhibitors have resulted in the development of several compounds (Figure 1).^{25−34} These inhibitors had low K_i value against Escherichia coli or Plasmodium faliciparum dUTPase and were effectively [u](#page-1-0)s[ed](#page-13-0) [for](#page-13-0) solving the X-ray structure of the enzyme. However, these inhibitors appear to be ineffective for chemotherapeutic usage owing to high polarity or insufficient human dUTPase inhibition activity.

Received: March 25, 2012 Published: May 18, 2012

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compound 8^a

^aReagents and conditions: (a) PhSO₂Cl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, room temp, 2 h; (b) (1) NBS, AIBN, CCl₄, reflux, 0.5 h, (2) (TMS)₂uracil, I₂, DCE, reflux, 3 h.

We previously reported the development of highly potent dUTPase inhibitors.35−³⁷ Among them, compound 6 not only significantly enhanc[es](#page-13-0) [the](#page-13-0) growth inhibition activity of FdUrd against HeLa S3 cells in vitro but also shows robust antitumor activity in combination with 5-FU in vivo.

In this paper, we have described a new class of dUTPase inhibitors. We designed and synthesized conformation restricted dUTPase inhibitors based on the reported X-ray cocrystal structures (PDB code 3ARN³⁶) and evaluated the inhibition activity against human dUTPase and in vivo antitumor activity in combination with [5-F](#page-13-0)U.

■ CHEMISTRY

The target compounds in this study were synthesized as depicted in Schemes 1−6. Compound 8 was synthesized by the method shown in Scheme 1. Briefly, the commercially available amine 10 was treated [w](#page-4-0)ith benzenesulfonyl chloride to give compound 11. After treatment of compound 11 with Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) in the presence of 2,2′-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), the obtained benzyl bromide was coupled with (TMS) ₂uracil to give 8.

The synthesis of compound 9 is shown in Scheme 2. Moffat oxidation of commercially available compound 12 and subsequent Horner−Emmons olefination afforded c[om](#page-2-0)pound 13. After reduction of the olefin moiety of compound 13 using palladium on carbon under hydrogen atmosphere, treatment of

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compound 9^a

a
Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) TFA, pyridine, EDC, DMSO, toluene, room temp, 30 min, (2) diethyl phosphonoacetate, NaH, THF, 75 °C, 1 h; (b) $H₂$ Pd/C, AcOEt, room temp, 4 h; (c) 2.0 M LiBH₄ in THF, THF, room temp, 16 h; (d) ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate, toluene, reflux, 18 h; (e) 1.0 M DIBAL-H in THF, THF, -78 °C, 2 h; (f) (1) 4 N HCl/dioxane, room temp, 50 min, (2) PhSO2Cl, Et3N, MgO, THF, H2O, room temp, 2 h; (g) (1) CBr_4 , PPh₃, THF, room temp, 1 h, (2) (TMS)₂uracil, I₂, DCE, reflux, 3 h.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compound 20 and Its Derivatives 23–25^a

a
Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-MeOPhSO₂CI, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, room temp, 2 h; (b) (1) NBS, AIBN, CCl₄, reflux, 0.5 h, (2) (TMS)₂uracil, I₂, DCE, reflux, 3 h; (c) 3-BzOPhSO₂Cl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, room temp, 2 h; (d) (1) 40% MeNH₂ in MeOH, room temp, 20 min, (2) RX, K₂CO₃, DMF, 90 °C, 16 h.

the resulting compound 14 with lithium borohydride $(LiBH₄)$ afforded compound 15. The Wittig reaction of compound 15 with ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate, followed by reduction of the ester group of the resulting compound 16, afforded alcohol 17. Removal of the Boc group from compound 17 and treatment of the resulting amine with benzenesulfonyl chloride afforded compound 18. After bromination of compound 18 with carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine, coupling of the resulting brominated compound with (TMS) ₂uracil gave compound 9.

Compound 20 and its derivatives 23−25 were synthesized in two or three steps, as shown in Scheme 3. These compounds

a Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) 4 N HCl/dioxane, room temp, 50 min, (2) 3-MeOPhSO₂Cl, Et₃N, MgO, THF, H₂O, room temp, 2 h; (b) (1) CBr_4 , PPh_3 , THF, room temp, 1 h, (2) $(TMS)_2$ uracil, I₂, DCE, reflux, 3 h; (c) (1) 4 N HCI/dioxane, room temp, 50 min, (2) 3-BzOPhSO₂Cl, Et₃N, MgO, THF, H₂O, room temp, 2 h; (d) (1) 40% MeNH₂ in MeOH, room temp, 20 min, (2) RX, K₂CO₃, DMF, 90 °C, 16 h.

Scheme 5. Synthesis of Compounds $38-40^a$

^aReagents and conditions: (a) 4-(bromomethyl)benzenesulfonyl chloride, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 1 h; (b) $(TMS)_2$ uracil, I₂, DCE, reflux, 5 h.

were synthesized in a manner similar to that shown in Scheme 1.

Compound 27 and its derivatives 30−32 were synthesized [fr](#page-1-0)om intermediate 17, as shown in Scheme 4. These compounds were synthesized in a manner similar to that shown in Scheme 2.

The synthesis of compounds 38−40 is shown in Scheme 5. Procedures for t[he](#page-2-0) synthesis of chiral amines 36b−c are detailed in the Supporting Information. Briefly, chiral amine 36a was treated with 4-(bromomethyl)benzenesulfonyl chloride, and the o[btained benzyl bromid](#page-12-0)e was coupling with (TMS) ₂uracil to give compounds 38–40. Compounds 39 and 40 were synthesized in a similar manner.

In Scheme 6, synthesis of compounds 43−45 is detailed. Procedures for the synthesis of intermediates 41a−c are detailed in the [S](#page-4-0)upporting Information. The Mitunobu reaction of 41a with N-3-benzoyluracil provided compound 42a. Deprotection [of the benzoyl and MO](#page-12-0)M group of 42a led to

the production of compound 43. Compounds 44 and 45 were synthesized in a similar manner.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design of the Conformation Restricted Analogues of Compound 7. The conformational restriction of a flexible ligand has often been a promising strategy to increase the potency for a given target protein in drug development because the entropic loss associated with the ligand adopting a preferred binding conformation can be minimized.38−⁴¹ We previously reported the X-ray cocrystal structure of human dUTPase with compound 7 (PDB code $3ARN^{36}$) that [has p](#page-13-0)otent inhibition activity against human dUTPase (IC₅₀ = 3.9 μ M) (Figure 2). From this X-ray cocrystal st[ru](#page-13-0)cture, we confirmed that compound 7 adopts a folded conformation and is stac[ke](#page-4-0)d between the uracil ring and its terminal phenyl ring. The central flexible linker moiety, i.e., -O-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-C(Me)₂-, aided formation of the bent structure. We previously noted that the terminal phenyl ring of 7 occupies the same region as the

45 $R = 2,2$ -difluoroethyl

a Reagents and conditions:(a) N-3-benzoyluracil, PPh₃, DEAD, THF, room temp, 2 h; (b) (1) 40% MeNH₂ in MeOH, room temp, 1 h, (2) 4 N HCI/dioxane, dioxane, room temp, 4 h.

Figure 2. X-ray cocrystal structure of compound 7 (cyan) with human dUTPase (PDB code 3ARN³⁶).

Phe158 residue of the en[zym](#page-13-0)e.³⁵ Mol and co-workers reported that capping each of the enzyme's active sites by the flexible Cterminal tail is critical for hu[man](#page-13-0) dUTPase activity.⁴² On the basis of this structural information, we proposed that this flexible linker moiety can be conformationally rest[ric](#page-13-0)ted into the bioactive conformation by replacing it with a p -phenylene ring or a trans-alkenylene group, in which the positions of the uracil and the terminal phenyl ring should be similar to those in compound 7. On the basis of this hypothesis, we designed conformation restricted analogues 8 and 9 that have a rigid pphenylene ring or a trans-alkenylene group (Figure 3).

We performed a molecular modeling study of conformation restricted analogues 8 and 9 based on the crystallographic pose of compound 7 (Figure 4A and Figure 4B). In modeling analysis, the aromatic stacking interaction between the uracil moiety and the terminal [ph](#page-5-0)enyl rings was [c](#page-5-0)onserved (Figure 4C).

We assayed the enzyme inhibition activity of compounds 8 [an](#page-5-0)d 9 and their in vitro growth inhibition in combination with FdUrd against Hela S3 cells. Conformation restricted analogues 8 and 9 demonstrated an almost 2-fold increment in enzyme inhibition activity and enhanced growth inhibition activity of

Figure 3. Design of the conformation restricted analogues as human dUTPase inhibitors.

FdUrd against Hela S3 cells compared with the parent compound 7 as expected (Table 1).

Further Improvement of Compounds 8 and 9. Next, to identify further potent inhib[ito](#page-5-0)rs, we investigated the substitution effect at the terminal phenyl ring of compounds 8 and 9. In our previous work describing the structure−activity relationship (SAR) of compound 7, we discovered that introduction of a hydrophobic alkoxy group at the metaposition of the terminal phenyl ring of 7 is highly conducive to human dUTPase inhibitory activity³⁶ (approximately 2 orders). Accordingly, using the same strategy, we designed and synthesized compounds 20, 23−[25](#page-13-0), 27, and 30−32 having an alkoxy group at the meta-position of the terminal phenyl ring.

Introduction of bulky lipophilic groups such as cyclopropylmethoxy, cyclopentyloxy, and 2,2-difluoroethoxy group into the meta-position of the terminal phenyl ring dramatically increased both enzyme inhibition activity and enhanced the growth inhibition activity of FdUrd against Hela S3 cells as expected (Table 2). It is suggested that the meta-subsutituent on their terminal phenyl ring contributes important hydrophobic interactio[n](#page-5-0) with the enzyme.

Figure 4. (A) Proposed model for compound 8 (green) binding to the active site pocket. (B) Proposed model for compound 9 (orange) binding to the active site pocket. (C) Overlay of compounds 7 (cyan), 8, and 9 complexed to human dUTPase.

a Concentration of each compound required to inhibit 50% of the amount of [5⁻³H]dUMP produced by human dUTPase. ^bConcentration of each compound that reduces the T/C (%) of FdUrd (1 μ M) against HeLa S3 to half in 24 h. ${}^cTC_{50}$ and EC_{50} data represent the average of three independent assays, and errors are given as standard deviations.

Although these compounds have favorable biological activity, none of these could be solidified, making them difficult for further development. As described in our previous paper, the conversion of the linker part of compound 33 to an alternative linker resolved this physicochemical issue without disrupting enzyme inhibition activity. Furthermore, introduction of an (R)-monomethyl group at the benzyl position of compound 34 significantly contributed to its increased enzyme inhibition activity (Figure 5). This result suggested that the substituent of the (R) -tail is more likely to maintain close contact with the enzyme.

On the basis [of](#page-6-0) the SAR in our previous study, we designed and synthesized compounds 38−40 and 43−45 with (R) methylated benzyl groups, as shown in Schemes 5 and 6,

respectively. Unexpectedly, compounds 38−40 were approximately 4-fold to 13-fold less active than compounds 23−25 (Table 3). The terminal phenyl ring of the reverse sulfonamide compounds 38−40, which is essential for efficient dUTPase inhibiti[on](#page-6-0) activity, may not have been located at a suitable position in the active site of the enzyme because of the rigidity of compounds. On the other hand, compounds 43−45 retained enzyme inhibition activity compared with parent compounds 30−32 (Table 4). These compounds had favorable physicochemical properties (both compounds were solidified). Among them, c[om](#page-6-0)pound 43 has the greatest in vitro potency $(IC₅₀ = 39 nM, EC₅₀ = 66 nM).$ On the other hand, compound 43 alone had little effect on cell growth (IC₅₀ = 38.2 μ M). Therefore, we selected compound 43 for further study.

In Vivo Pharmacokinetics (PK) Profile of Compound 43. Compound 43 was evaluated for preliminary pharmacokinetics profile in mice. These results are summarized in Table 5. Compound 43 was well absorbed into mice plasma after oral administration. These PK data showed that compound 43 has [a](#page-6-0) desirable profile for in vivo study.

Antitumor Activity of Compound 43 against the MX-1 Breast Cancer Xenograft Model in Mice. We next evaluated the antitumor activity of compound 43 in combination with 5-FU in vivo. Compound 43 was administered orally with a continuous infusion of 5-FU into the MX-1 xenograft model in mice. Compound 43 dramatically increased the efficacy of 5-FU (inhibition rate IR = $68\%)$

 a Concentration of each compound required to inhibit 50% of the amount of [5- 3H]dUMP produced by human dUTPase. b Concentration of each compound that reduces the T/C (%) of FdUrd $(1 \mu M)$ against HeLa S3 to half in 24 h. ${}^cTC_{50}$ and EC_{50} data represent the average of three independent assays, and errors are given as standard deviations.

Figure 5. (A) Replacement of the linker moiety conferred favorable physicochemical properties in our chemical series. (B) (R)-Monomethylated compound showed increased inhibition enzyme activity.

a Concentration of each compound required to inhibit 50% of the amount of [5⁻³H]dUMP produced by human dUTPase. ^bConcentration of each compound that reduces the T/C (%) of FdUrd (1 μ M) against HeLa S3 to half in 24 h. ${}^cTC_{50}$ and EC_{50} data represent the average of three independent assays, and errors are given as standard deviations.

Table 4. Biological Data of Componds 43−45

a Concentration of each compound required to inhibit 50% of the amount of [5⁻³H]dUMP produced by human dUTPase. ^bConcentration of each compound that reduces the T/C (%) of FdUrd (1 μ M) against HeLa S3 to half in 24 h. ${}^{c}IC_{50}$ and EC_{50} data represent the average of three independent assays, and errors are given as standard deviations.

without causing significant weight loss or toxicity compared with the vehicle (2.5% DMA, 2.5% Tween 80, 10% Cremophor,

Table 5. Pharmacokinetic Profile of 43 after Oral Administration $(po)^a$

compd	$AUC_{0-t}(\mu M\cdot h)$	$C_{\text{max}}(\mu M)$	$T_{1/2}$ (h)	T_{max} (h)
43	19.5	19.5	0.89	0.5
Cremophor EL.	a Balb/cA male mice $(n = 2)$ was dosed at 50 mg/kg. The po formulation contained 2.5% DMA, 2.5% Tween 80, and 10%			

and 0.5% HPMC) treated group (Table 6, Figure 6A, Figure 6B). On the other hand, compound 43 exhibited no antitumor activity when administered alone (Tab[le](#page-7-0) 6). Th[es](#page-7-0)e results [in](#page-7-0)dicate that this conformation restricted dUTPase inhibitor may provide high efficacy for treating can[ce](#page-7-0)r patients when used in combination with TS inhibitor.

■ CONCLUSION

We have described the discovery of conformation restricted human dUTPase inhibitors. On the basis of the X-ray cocrystal structure of lead compound 7 and human dUTPase, we designed and synthesized compounds 8 and 9 having rigid linkers such as a p-phenylene ring or a trans-alkenylene group instead of the flexible linker. Modeling study of these compounds suggested that the terminal phenyl ring can be located at the same region as that of compound 7. In biological assay, these compounds show more potent activity than the parent compound 7, as expected. Further elaboration of the SAR around compounds 8 and 9 gave a highly potent human dUTPase inhibitor 43. Compound 43 is one of the most potent human dUTPase inhibitors known so far, and it shows excellent antitumor activity in combination with 5-FU in the MX-1 xenograft model. Development of this inhibitor may validate dUTPase inhibitors as a potential new regiment in the combination chemotherapy with TS inhibitors for treating human cancer.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Chemistry. All commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification unless otherwise specified. All

"Tumor volume (TV) on day 15 was calculated according to the following formula: TV $(mm^3) = (width)^2(length)/2$. "Relative tumor volume (RTV) on day 15 was calculated as the ratio of TV on day 15 to that on day 0 according to the following formula: RTV = (TV on day 15)/(TV on day 0). **: p < 0.01, Dunnett's test compared with the control group. ##: p < 0.01, Student's t-test compared with the 5-FU group. "Inhibition rate (IR) of tumor growth on day 15 on the basis of RTV was calculated according to the following formula: IR (%) = [1 − (mean RTV of the treated group)/(mean RTV of the control group)] \times 100.

Figure 6. (A, top) Antitumor activity of 43 in combination with 5-FU in the MX-1 xenograft model. Relative tumor volume (RTV) is expressed as the mean \pm SD of at least three independent experiments. (B, bottom) Body weight change $(\%)$ is expressed as the mean \pm SD.

reactions were performed under an inert nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise specified. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-EX-270 (270 MHz) or JEOL JNM-LA-400 (400 MHz) spectrometer, and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-LA-400 (100 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm, δ) with tetramethylsilane as the internal standard, and coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz). Splitting patterns and apparent multiplicities are designated as s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, double doublet; t, triplet; dt, doublet triplet; q, quartet; quin, quintet; m, multiplet; brs, broad singlet. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica gel 60 F_{254} precoated plates (Merck). Column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60 (230− 400 mesh). Optical rotation was determined using Horiba SEPA-200 polarimeter. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded either on a JEOL JMS-700 (FAB) or a on Waters micromass Q-Tof-2 (TOF) instrument. The purity of all final compounds was determined by combustion analysis or high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC); purity of at least 95% was found. Elemental analyses were performed using a Thermo Electron Corporation Flash EA 1112 series. Analytical HPLC was performed on a Shimazu Prominence system using Lcolumn 2 ODS column (4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 3 μ m) with an 8 min linear gradient from 10% to 80% acetonitrile/10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and a flow rate of 1.3 mL/min with UV detection at 220 nm (method A) and using a Shim-pack XR-ODS column $(3.0 \text{ mm} \times 50)$ mm, 2.2 μ m) with an 8 min linear gradient from 10% to 80% acetonitrile/10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min with UV detection at 220 nm (method B). The retention time of compound peak in HPLC is denoted as t_R .

N-(2-p-Tolylpropan-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (11). To a stirred solution of 10 (280 mg, 1.88 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3.5 mL) were added Et₃N (250 μ L, 1.79 mmol) and benzenesulfonyl chloride (205 μ L, 1.60 mmol) at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was poured into H_2O and extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = 4/1 to ^afford the title compound (121 mg, 0.42 mmol, 22%) as a colorless oil. ¹ ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.62 (6H, s), 2.34 (3H, s), 7.03–7.19 (8H, m), 7.31−7.34 (1H, m).

N-(2-(4-((2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)methyl) phenyl)propan-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (8). A mixture of 11 (121 mg, 0.42 mmol), N-bromosuccinimide (78.0 mg, 0.44 mmol), and AIBN (2.5 mg, 0.015 mmol) in CCl_4 (3.0 mL) was heated to reflux at 90 °C for 0.5 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into H_2O , and extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude brominated product.

To a suspension of 2,4-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)pyrimidine (150 mg, 0.58 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2.0 mL) was added a solution of the crude brominated product in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux at 95 °C for 3 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into H₂O and extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc to afford the title compound $(39.1 \text{ mg}, 0.098 \text{ mmol}, 23\% \text{ from } 11)$ as a colorless gum. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.60 (6H, s), 4.84 (2H, s), 5.53 (1H, brs), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.09−7.19 (3H, m), 7.27−7.38 (4H, m), 7.42−7.52 (1H, m), 7.65−7.69 (2H, m), 8.45 (1H, brs). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 29.7, 49.8, 57.2, 101.3, 125.8, 126.1, 126.8, 128.5, 131.4, 134.8, 143.2, 145.3, 145.7, 151.0, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for C20H21N3O4S: C, 60.13; H, 5.3; N, 10.52. Found: C, 60.00; H, 5.35; N, 10.33.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-4-methylpent-2 enoate (13). To a mixture of tert-butyl 1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-ylcarbamate 12 (38.5 g, 203 mmol), trifluoroacetic acid (11.3 mL, 152 mmol), pyridine (24.6 mL, 305 mm) in DMSO (160 mL) and toluene (160 mL) was added EDC (116.8 g, 609 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min and then poured into H_2O . The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a crude aldehyde.

To a stirred suspension of NaH (55% in oil, 10.7 g, 245 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was slowly added ethyl diethylphosphonoacetate (49.6 mL, 248 mmol) at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then a solution of the crude aldehyde in THF (100 mL) was added to the mixture, and the whole was stirred at 75 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $NH₄Cl$, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine,

dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = $7/3$ to afford the title compound (47.4) g, mmol, 91%, from $12)$ as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.21−1.29 (3H, m), 1.41 (6H, s), 1.43 (9H, s), 4.11−4.23 $(2H, m)$, 4.68 (1H, brs), 5.84 (1H, d, J = 15.8 Hz), 7.00 (1H, d, J = 15.8 Hz).

Ethyl 4-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-4-methylpentanoate (14). A mixture of 13 (47.4 g, 184 mmol) and 10% palladium on activated carbon (3.0 g) in EtOAc (200 mL) was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere (balloon) at room temperature for 4 h. The reaction mixture was filtered with a pad of Celite, and the pad was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give the title compound (40.0 g, 154 mmol, 84%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (3H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.26 (6H, s), 1.46 (9H, s), 1.96−2.05 (2H, m), 2.28−2.34 (2H, m), 4.12 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.43 (1H, brs). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{13}H_{26}NO_4 [M + H]^+$ 260.1862, found 260.1857.

tert-Butyl 5-Hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (15). To a solution of 14 (17.4 g, 67.0 mmol) in THF (200 mL) was added LiBH₄ (2.0 M, 55.4 mL, 111 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 16 h. Then to the mixture was added water dropwise at the same temperature. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexaneEtOAc = $3/7$ to afford the title compound $(5.90 \text{ g}, 27.4 \text{ mmol}, 41\%)$ as a white solid. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 1.40 (6H, s), 1.47 (9H, s), 1.51– 1.59 (2H, m), 1.96−2.05 (2H, m), 5.17−5.21 (1H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{11}H_{20}NO_3$ [M – H]⁻ 214.1443, found 214.1440.

(E)-Ethyl 6-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-6-methylhept-2 enoate (16). To a solution of 15 (940 mg, 4.37 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) was added ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (1.74 g, 5.0 mmol), and the resultant was heated to reflux at 110 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 18 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = $8/1$ to afford the title compound (543 mg, 1.90) mmol, 43%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25− 1.31 (9H, m), 1.43 (9H, s), 1.78−1.85 (2H, m), 2.14−2.20 (2H, m), 3.38 (1H, brs), 4.17 (2H, q, J = 7.3 Hz), 5.79−5.86 (1H, m), 6.93− 7.02 (1H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{15}H_{28}NO_4$ [M + H]⁺ 286.2018, found 286.1998.

(E)-tert-Butyl 7-Hydroxy-2-methylhept-5-en-2-ylcarbamate (17). To a stirred solution of 16 (530 mg, 1.86 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was slowly added DIBAL-H in THF (1.0 M, 9.30 mL, 9.30 mmol) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 2 h. Brine and saturated aqueous Rochelle's salt were added to the mixture at −78 °C, and the resultant was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/ EtOAc = $3/1$ to afford the title compound (452 mg, 1.86 mmol, quantitative) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 1.13 (6H, s), 1.36 (9H, s), 1.58−1.62 (2H, m), 1.87−1.93 (2H, m), 3.84− 3.89 (2H, m), 4.53 (1H, t, J = 5.4 Hz), 5.41−5.59 (2H, m), 6.35 (1H, brs). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{13}H_{26}NO_3 [M + H]^+$ 244.1913, found 244.1933.

(E) - N -(7-Hydroxy-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (18). A solution of 17 (486 mg, 2.00 mmol) in 4 N HCl/dioxane (5.0 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 50 min. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was coevaporated with toluene four times and dissolved in THF (3.2 mL) and H₂O (800 μ L). To this mixture were added Et₃N (585 μL, 4.20 mmol), MgO (400 mg, 9.93 mmol), and benzenesulfonyl chloride (293 μ L, 2.30 mmol) at room temperature, and the resultant was stirred at the same temperature for 2 h. The mixture was filtered, and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc and H2O. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H_2O . The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = 1/1 to afford the title compound (128 mg, 0.57 mmol, 23% from 17) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (6H, s), 1.59–1.64 (2H, m), 2.01−2.17 (2H, m), 4.05−4.09 (2H, m), 4.52 (1H, brs), 5.61−5.64 (2H, m), 7.50−7.55 (3H, m), 7.86−7.90 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}NO_3S$ [M – H]⁻ 282.1164, found 282.1192.

(E)-N-(7-(2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (9). To a solution of 18 (160 mg, 0.56 mmol) in THF (2.5 mL) were added PP h_3 (223 mg, 0.85 mmol) and CBr_4 (280 mg, 0.84 mmol) at room temperature, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = $3/1$) to give a crude brominated compound.

To a suspension of 2,4-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)pyrimidine (79.0 mg, 0.31 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) was added a solution of the crude brominated compound in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) and iodine (catalyst) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux at 95 °C for 3 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into H_2O/s aturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ and extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc to afford the title compound $(32.0 \text{ mg}, 0.085 \text{ mmol}, 15\% \text{ from } 18)$ as a white foam. 1 H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.17 (6H,s), 1.61–1.66 (2H, m), 2.06– 2.17 (2H, m), 4.27 (2H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 4.52 (1H, brs), 5.41−5.51 (1H, m), 5.64–5.71 (1H, m), 5.71 (1H, dd, J = 8.1, 2.7 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.46−7.55 (3H, m), 7.86−7.91 (2H, m), 8.33 (1H, brs). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.8, 27.7, 41.6, 49.6, 56.9, 102.3, 123.3, 126.9, 128.9, 132.2, 136.1, 143.4, 143.9, 150.8, 163.8. Anal. Calcd for C18H23N3O4S·0.3H2O: C, 56.47; H, 6.21; N, 10.98. Found: C, 56.76; H, 6.08; N, 11.04.

3-Methoxy-N-(2-p-tolylpropan-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (19). To a stirred solution of 10 (350 mg, 2.35 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3.5) mL) were added Et₃N (401 μ L, 2.88 mmol) and 3-methoxybenzenesulfonyl chloride (398 μ L, 2.53 mmol) at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was poured into H2O and extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = 4/ 1 to afford the title compound (179 mg, 0.56 mmol, 24%) as a white solid. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.64 (6H, s), 2.28 (3H, s), 3.76 (3H, s), 4.85 (1H, brs), 6.95−7.00 (3H, m), 7.04−7.06 (1H, m), 7.14−7.17 (2H, m), 7.26−7.29 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{17}H_{20}NO_3S$ [M – H]⁻ 318.1164, found 318.1153.

N-(2-(4-((2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)methyl) phenyl)propan-2-yl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (20). 20 was prepared from 19 (174 mg, 0.54 mmol) as described for the preparation of 8, colorless gum (21 mg, 0.053 mmol, 9%). ¹H NMR $(270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.63 (6H, s), 3.77 (3H, s), 4.84 (2H, s), 5.24 (1H, brs), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.94−7.34 (9H, m), 8.82 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 30.5, 51.7, 56.0, 58.6, 102.6, 112.6, 119.1, 120.0, 127.5, 128.4, 130.7, 135.8, 145.3, 146.3, 147.1, 152.8, 160.8, 166.7. HRMS (TOF) calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}N_3O_5S$ $[M + H]^+$ 430.1437, found 430.1443. HPLC purity: 96.8%, t_R = 4.82 min (method B).

3-(N-(2-p-Tolylpropan-2-yl)sulfamoyl)phenyl Benzoate (21). To a stirred solution of 10 (1.49 g, 9.98 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (25 mL) were added Et_3N (1.46 mL, 10.5 mmol) and 3-(chlorosulfonyl)phenyl benzoate (2.08 g, 7.01 mmol) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was poured into H_2O and extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = 4/1 to

afford the title compound (2.07 g, 5.05 mmol, 51%) as a colorless gum. ¹ H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl3) δ 1.67 (6H, s), 2.26 (3H, s), 4.88 (1H, brs), 6.98−7.01 (2H, m), 7.17−7.20 (2H, m), 7.33−7.39 (2H, m), 7.48−7.57 (4H, m), 7.64−7.71 (1H, m), 8.18−8.22 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{23}H_{22}NO_4S$ [M – H]⁻ 408.1270, found 408.1282.

3-(Cyclopropylmethoxy)-N-(2-p-tolylpropan-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (22a). A solution of 21 (287 mg, 0.70 mmol) in 40% MeNH₂ in MeOH (4.0 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 20 min. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was coevaporated with toluene two times and was then dissolved in DMF (4.0 mL). To the mixture were added K_2CO_2 (193 mg, 1.40 mmol) and (bromomethyl)cyclopropane (74.7 μ L, 0.77 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at 90 $\rm{°C}$ for 16 h and then poured into H₂O. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc two times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = $4/1$ to afford the title compound (224 mg, 0.62 mmol, 89% from $21)$ as a white solid. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.32−0.38 (2H, m), 0.62−0.70 (2H, m), 1.20−1.29 (1H, m), 1.63 (6H, s), 2.28 (3H, s), 3.74 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.84 (1H, brs), 6.93−7.04 (4H, m), 7.11−7.14 (2H, m), 7.25− 7.30 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{20}H_{24}NO_3S$ $[M - H]^-$ 358.1477, found 358.1465.

3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-N-(2-p-tolylpropan-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (22b). 22b was prepared from 21 (573 mg, 1.40 mmol) as described for the preparation of 22a, white solid (519 mg, 1.39 mmol, 99%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.63 (6H, s), 1.64−1.69 (2H, m), 1.72−1.90 (6H, m), 2.28 (3H, s), 4.67−4.83 (1H, m), 4.80 (1H, brs), 6.93−7.00 (3H, m), 7.11−7.26 (5H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{21}H_{26}NO_3S$ [M – H]⁻ 372.1633, found 372.1659.

3-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)-N-(2-p-tolylpropan-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (22c). 22c was prepared from 21 (573 mg, 1.40 mmol) as described for the preparation of 22a, white solid (510 mg, 1.38 mmol, 99%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.65 (6H, s), 2.27 (3H, s), 4.08 (2H, td, $J = 13.2$, 4.3 Hz), 4.90 (1H, brs), 6.07 (1H, tt, J = 55.1, 4.1 Hz), 6.93−7.04 (4H, m), 7.11−7.14 (2H, m), 7.27− 7.36 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{18}H_{20}F_2NO_3S$ $[M - H]$ ⁻ 368.1132, found 368.1161.

3-(Cyclopropylmethoxy)-N-(2-(4-((2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2 H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)propan-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (23). 23 was prepared from 22a (230 mg, 0.64 mmol) as described for the preparation of 8, colorless gum (113 mg, 0.24 mmol, 38%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 0.30−0.36 (2H, m), 0.54−0.61 (2H, m), 1.19−1.24 (1H, m), 1.45 (6H, s), 3.79 $(2H, d, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz})$, 4.76 $(2H, s)$, 5.60 $(1H, d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz})$, 6.97–7.06 (5H, m), 7.20−7.26 (3H, m), 7.69 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.02 (1H, brs), 11.32 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 3.1, 10.0, 29.7, 49.7, 57.2, 72.4, 101.2, 111.6, 118.1, 125.8, 126.8, 129.7, 134.7, 144.4, 145.4, 145.6, 151.0, 158.3, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for $C_{24}H_{27}N_{3}O_{5}S \cdot 0.3H_{2}O$: C, 60.69; H, 5.86; N, 8.85. Found: C, 60.68; H, 5.71; N, 8.96.

3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-N-(2-(4-((2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)propan-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (24). 24 was prepared from 22b (247 mg, 0.66 mmol) as described for the preparation of 8, pale yellow foam (22.5 mg, 0.047 mmol, 7%). $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 1.45 (6H, s), 1.58–1.70 (6H, m), 1.89−1.95 (2H, m), 4.72−4.76 (1H, m), 4.76 (2H, s), 5.60 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 6.94−7.09 (5H, m), 7.18−7.27 (3H, m), 7.69 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 8.03 (1H, brs), 11.3 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 23.6, 29.7, 32.2, 49.8, 57.3, 79.2, 101.3, 112.6, 118.0, 119.0, 125.8, 126.8, 129.7, 134.8, 144.4, 145.4, 145.6, 151.0, 157.4, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{29}N_3O_5S$: C, 62.09; H, 6.04; N, 8.69. Found: C, 61.87; H, 6.04; N, 8.65.

3-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)-N-(2-(4-((2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2 H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)propan-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide (25). 25 was prepared from 22c (240 mg, 0.65 mmol) as described for the preparation of 8, white foam (32.7 mg, 0.068 mmol, 10%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 1.47 (6H, s), 4.35 (2H, td, J = 14.7, 3.3 Hz), 4.77 (2H, s), 5.61 (1H, d, J = 7.8

Hz), 6.41 (1H, tt, J = 54.2, 3.5 Hz), 7.02−7.17 (5H, m), 7.24−7.32 $(3H, m)$, 7.67 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 8.06 (1H, brs), 11.32 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 29.8, 50.7, 58.3, 67.3 (t, J = 24.1 Hz), 102.6, 112.4, 113.3 (t, J = 242.3 Hz), 118.9, 120.2, 126.4, 127.4, 130.0, 133.9, 143.9, 144.1, 145.1, 151.0, 157.5, 163.8. HRMS (TOF) calcd for $C_{22}H_{24}F_2N_3O_5S$ [M + H]⁺ 480.1405, found 480.1411. HPLC purity: 96.8%, $t_{\rm R}$ = 7.65 min (method A).

(E)-N-(7-Hydroxy-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (26). 26 was prepared from 17 (475 mg, 1.95 mmol) as described for the preparation of 18, colorless oil (95.0 mg, 0.66 mmol, 34%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (6H, s), 1.54–1.63 (2H, m), 2.00−2.09 (2H, m), 2.18 (3H, s), 4.49 (1H, brs), 5.52−5.57 (2H, m), 7.46−7.60 (4H, m), 7.84−7.93 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{15}H_{22}NO_4S$ $[M - H]$ ⁻ 312.1270, found 312.1279.

(E)-N-(7-(2,4-Dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)-3-methoxybenzenesulfonamide (27). 27 was prepared from 26 (205 mg, 0.65 mmol) as described for the preparation of 9, white foam $(35.0 \text{ mg}, 0.086 \text{ mmol}, 13\%)$. ¹H NMR $(270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.19 (6H,s), 1.61–1.66 (2H, m), 2.06–2.18 $(2H, m)$, 3.85 $(3H, s)$, 4.27 $(2H, d, J = 6.1 Hz)$, 4.54 $(1H, brs)$, 5.41– 5.50 (1H, m), 5.64−5.72 (2H, m), 7.07 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 2.7 Hz), 7.15 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.36–7.47 (3H, m), 8.30 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 26.7, 27.7, 41.6, 49.5, 55.6, 56.8, 102.3, 111.7, 118.3, 118.9, 123.3, 130.0, 136.1, 143.8, 144.6, 150.8, 159.7, 163.8. HRMS (TOF) calcd for $C_{19}H_{26}N_3O_5S$ $[M + H]^+$ 408.1593, found 408.1598. HPLC purity: 96.4%, $t_R = 5.08$ min (method B).

(E)-3-(N-(7-Hydroxy-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)sulfamoyl) **phenyl Benzoate (28).** 28 was prepared from 17 (450 mg, 1.85) mmol) as described for the preparation of 18, light brown gum (320 mg, 0.79 mmol, 43%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.22 (6H, s), 1.58−1.64 (2H, m), 2.04−2.09 (2H, m), 4.03−4.05 (2H, m), 5.07 (1H, brs), 5.57−5.62 (2H, m), 7.39−7.43 (1H, m), 7.50−7.70 (4H, m), 7.78−7.82 (2H, m), 8.17−8.21 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}NO_5S$ [M – H]⁻ 402.1375, found 402.1406.

(E)-3-(Cyclopropylmethoxy)-N-(7-hydroxy-2-methylhept-5 en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (29a). 29a was prepared from 28 (310 mg, 0.77 mmol) as described for the preparation of 22a, colorless oil (248 mg, 0.70 mmol, 91%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.33– 0.39 (2H, m), 0.62−0.70 (2H, m), 1.20 (6H, s), 1.22−1.30 (1H, m), 1.59−1.64 (2H, m), 2.01−2.10 (2H, m), 3.84 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.07 (2H, d, J = 4.1 Hz), 4.42 (1H, brs), 5.60−5.64 (2H, m), 7.05−7.09 (1H, m), 7.35–7.47 (3H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{18}H_{26}NO_4S$ [M − H][−] 352.1583, found 352.1587.

(E)-3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-N-(7-hydroxy-2-methylhept-5-en-2 yl)benzenesulfonamide (29b). 29b was prepared from 28 (600 mg, 1.49 mmol) as described for the preparation of 22a, yellow oil (292 mg, 0.79 mmol, 53%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (6H, s), 1.59−1.64 (2H, m), 1.75−2.00 (8H, m), 2.05−2.10 (2H, m), 4.05− 4.09 (2H, m), 4.19 (1H, brs), 4.40−4.44 (1H, m), 5.61−5.64 (2H, m), 7.00−7.04 (1H, m), 7.35−7.47 (3H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{19}H_{28}NO_4S$ [M – H]⁻ 366.1739, found 366.1762.

(E)-3-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)-N-(7-hydroxy-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (29c). 29c was prepared from 28 (600 mg, 1.49 mmol) as described for the preparation of 22a, yellow oil $(426 \text{ mg}, 1.17 \text{ mmol}, 79\%)$. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.20$ (6H, s), 1.59−1.64 (2H, m), 2.01−2.17 (2H, m), 4.05−4.09 (2H, m), 4.23 (2H, td, J = 12.9, 4.2 Hz), 4.49 (1H, brs), 5.61−5.64 (2H, m), 6.11 (1H, tt, $J = 55.1$, 3.9 Hz), 7.08–7.11 (1H, m), 7.37–7.45 (3H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}F_2NO_4S$ [M – H]⁻ 362.1238, found 362.1269.

(E)-3-(Cyclopropylmethoxy)-N-(7-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (30). 30 was prepared from 29a (248 mg, 0.70 mmol) as described for the preparation of 9, colorless gum (108 mg, 0.24 mmol, 34%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 0.30−0.34 (2H, m), 0.53−0.56 (2H, m), 1.01 (6H, s), 1.15−1.24 (1H, m), 1.39−1.45 (2H, m), 1.91−1.95 (2H, m), 3.85 (2H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.16 (2H, d, J = 5.1 Hz), 5.30–5.58 $(2H, m)$, 5.60 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.09–7.13 (1H, m), 7.30–7.45 (4H, m), 7.64 (1H, d, $J = 7.8$ Hz), 11.30 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.2, 10.0, 26.7, 27.6, 41.6, 49.5, 56.8, 73.1, 102.2, 112.2, 118.8, 119.0, 123.3, 129.9, 136.1, 143.8, 144.5, 150.8, 159.1,

163.9. HRMS (TOF) calcd for $C_{22}H_{30}N_3O_5S$ $[M + H]^+$ 448.1906, found 448.1909. HPLC purity: 95.1%, $t_R = 6.05$ min (method B).

(E)-3-(Cyclopentyloxy)-N-(7-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (31). 31 was prepared from 29b (125 mg, 0.34 mmol) as described for the preparation of 9 , colorless gum (24.5 mg, 0.053 mmol, 16%). $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (6H, s), 1.58–1.70 (4H, m), 1.74– 1.88 (4H, m), 1.89−1.97 (2H, m), 2.07−2.15 (2H, m), 4.27 (2H, d, J = 6.3 Hz), 4.74 (1H, brs), 4.77−4.82 (1H, m), 5.41−5.51 (1H, m), 5.64−5.70 (1H, m), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.02 (1H, dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz), 7.16 (1H, d, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.33–7.45 (3H, m), 8.69 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.0, 26.8, 27.7, 32.7, 41.6, 49.6, 56.8, 79.9, 102.3, 113.3, 118.5, 119.9, 123.3, 130.0, 136.2, 143.7, 144.3, 150.6, 158.3, 163.5. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₃₁N₃O₅S-0.9H₂O: C, 57.82; H, 6.92; N, 8.79. Found: C, 57.46; H, 6.53; N, 8.42.

(E)-3-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)-N-(7-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)-2-methylhept-5-en-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide (32). 32 was prepared from 29c (110 mg, 0.30 mmol) as described for the preparation of 9 , colorless gum (23.0 mg, 0.050 mmol, 17%). $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (6H, s), 1.58−1.70 (2H, m), 2.06− 2.17 (2H, m), 4.23−4.28 (4H, m), 4.63 (1H, brs), 5.41−5.51 (1H, m), 5.64−5.70 (2H, m), 6.11 (1H, tt, J = 54.8, 3.8 Hz), 7.09−7.17 (2H, m), 7.40−7.55 (3H, m), 8.40 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.8, 27.7, 41.5, 49.7, 57.0, 67.4 (t, J = 24.0 Hz), 102.3, 112.6, 113.3 $(t, J = 240.7 \text{ Hz})$, 118.9, 120.2, 123.4, 130.3, 135.9, 143.8, 144.9, 150.7, 157.8, 163.6. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{25}F_2N_3O_5S·H_2O$: C, 50.52; H, 5.72; N, 8.84. Found: C, 50.74; H, 5.40; N, 8.60.

(R)-4-(Bromomethyl)-N-(1-(3-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl) ethyl)benzenesulfonamide (37a). To a stirred solution of (R) -1-(3-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)ethanamine hydrochloride 36a (363 mg, 1.60 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.0 mL) were added Et₃N (670 μ L, 4.81 mmol) and 4-(bromomethyl)benzenesulfonyl chloride (450 mg, 1.67 mmol) at 0 °C, and the resultant was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h. The mixture was poured into $H₂O$ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with 1 N HCl, brine, dried over $\rm Na_2SO_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/ EtOAc = $3/1$ to afford the title compound (188 mg, 0.44 mmol, 28%) as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.31–0.34 (2H, m), 0.63−0.65 (2H, m), 1.19−1.28 (1H, m), 1.43 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 3.66−3.70 (2H, m), 4.48 (1H, quin, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.57 (2H, s), 4.69 $(1H, brs), 6.60-6.64 (2H, m), 6.68-6.71 (1H, m), 7.08 (1H, td, J =$ 7.6, 1.2 Hz), 7.37−7.40 (2H, m), 7.68−7.71 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}BrNO_3S$ $[M - H]$ ⁻ 422.0426, found 422.0414.

(R)-4-(Bromomethyl)-N-(1-(3-(cyclopentyloxy)phenyl)ethyl) benzenesulfonamide (37b). 37b was prepared from 36b (387 mg, 1.60 mmol) as described for the preparation of 37a, white solid (419 mg, 0.96 mmol, 60%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.44 (3H, t, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.58−1.64 (2H, m), 1.72−1.89 (6H, m), 4.43 (2H, s), 4.46 $(1H, quin, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.63 (1H, brs), 4.69 (1H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 6.57–$ 6.61 (2H, m), 6.66−6.70 (1H, m), 7.05 (1H, t, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.38 (2H, dd, $J = 8.3$, 2.6 Hz), 7.66–7.70 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}BrNO_3S$ [M – H]⁻ 436.0582, found 436.0585.

(R)-4-(Bromomethyl)-N-(1-(3-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)phenyl) ethyl)benzenesulfonamide (37c). 37c was prepared from 36c (380 mg, 1.60 mmol) as described for the preparation of 37a, colorless oil $(337 \text{ mg}, 0.78 \text{ mmol}, 49\%)$. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.43 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 3.97–4.14 (2H, m), 4.44–4.52 (1H, m), 4.56 (2H, s), 4.87 (1H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 6.04 (1H, tt, J = 57.0, 4.0 Hz), 6.59–6.61 $(1H, m)$, 6.69–6.77 $(2H, m)$, 7.13 $(1H, t, J = 7.9 \text{ Hz})$, 7.36–7.40 $(2H,$ m), 7.64−7.69 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₁₇H₁₇BrF₂NO₃S [M − H][−] 432.0081, found 432.0077.

(R)-N-(1-(3-(Cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)ethyl)-4-((2,4 dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (38). To a suspension of 2,4-bis- (trimethylsilyloxy)pyrimidine (130 mg, 0.51 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2.5 mL) was added a solution of 37a (143 mg, 0.34 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.0 mL) and iodine (catalyst) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was heated to reflux at 95 °C for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into $H_2O/$ saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$ and extracted with EtOAc two times. The

combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc to afford the title compound (107 mg, 0.24 mmol, 68%) as a colorless gum. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.29–0.35 (2H, m), 0.60–0.67 (2H, m), 1.17−1.28 (1H, m), 1.42 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.69 (2H, dd, J $= 7.1, 2.4$ Hz), 4.46 (1H, quin, $J = 7.1$ Hz), 4.91 (2H, s), 5.16 (1H, brs), 5.76 (1H, d, J = 7.9, 2.0 Hz), 6.59–6.68 (3H, m), 7.04 (1H, t, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.14 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.23–7.28 (2H, m), 7.69 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 8.95 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 3.1, 10.2, 23.5, 49.9, 53.0, 71.8, 101.5, 112.2, 112.7, 118.1, 126.7, 127.7, 129.0, 140.8, 144.7, 145.4, 145.6, 151.0, 158.4, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for $C_{23}H_{25}N_{3}O_{5}S \cdot 0.3H_{2}O$: C, 59.93; H, 5.60; N, 9.12. Found: C, 60.05; H, 5.28; N, 9.01. $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D +41.4 (c 0.20, MeOH).

(R)-N-(1-(3-(Cyclopentyloxy)phenyl)ethyl)-4-((2,4-dioxo-3,4 dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (39). 39 was prepared from 37b (191 mg, 0.44 mmol) as described for the preparation of 38, colorless gum (50 mg, 0.11 mmol, 25%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 1.18 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.54–1.64 (6H, m), 1.83−2.10 (2H, m), 3.25−3.43 (1H, m), 4.26−4.29 (1H, m), 4.62−4.70 (1H, m), 4.87 (2H, s), 5.62 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 6.56−6.53 (2H, m), 6.70 (1H, s), 6.97 (1H, t, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.29−7.33 (2H, m), 7.58−7.62 (2H, m), 8.16 (1H, d, $I = 8.2$ Hz), 8.30 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 23.5, 23.6, 32.2, 49.7, 53.0, 78.4, 101.5, 113.2, 113.5, 117.9, 126.7, 127.6, 129.0, 140.8, 144.6, 145.4, 145.6, 151.0, 157.4, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₇N₃O₅S·0.5H₂O: C, 60.23; H, 5.90; N, 8.78. Found: C, 60.32; H, 5.65; N, 8.49. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ +33.4 (c 0.45, MeOH).

(R)-N-(1-(3-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)phenyl)ethyl)-4-((2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)methyl)benzenesulfonamide (40). 40 was prepared from 37c (158 mg, 0.36 mmol) as described for the preparation of 38, colorless gum (84.6 mg, 0.18 mmol, 50%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.44 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 4,00–4.10 (2H, m), 4.48−4.54 (1H, m), 4.87 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.91(2H, s), 5.76 $(1H, dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz)$, 6.05 $(1H, tt, J = 55.4, 4.1 Hz)$, 6.57 $(1H, s)$, 6.69 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 2.7 Hz), 6.73−6.77 (1H, m), 7.09−7.15 (2H, m), 7.24−7.28 (1H, m), 7.67 (3H, d, J = 8.3 Hz), 8.34 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 23.6, 49.9, 52.8, 66.2 (t, J = 24.0 Hz), 101.6, 112.4, 112.7, 114.1 (t, J = 242 Hz), 119.2, 126.7, 127.6, 129.2, 140.6, 140.9, 145.0, 145.6, 151.0, 157.3, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{21}F_{2}N_{3}O_{5}S$: C, 53.16; H, 4.67; N, 8.86. Found: C, 53.34; H, 4.32; N, 8.77. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ +38.8 (c 0.50, MeOH).

(R,E)-5-(3-Benzoyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)- N -(1-(3-(cyclopropylmet hoxy)phenyl)ethyl)- N - (methoxymethyl)pent-3-ene-1-sulfonamide (42a). To a solution of 41a (3.60 g, 9.39 mmol) in THF (60 mL) were added N-3 benzoyluracil $(3.10 \text{ g}, 14.3 \text{ mmol})$ and PPh₃ $(3.91 \text{ g}, 14.9 \text{ mmol})$ at room temperature. To the mixture was slowly added a solution of DEAD in toluene (2.2 M, 6.41 mL, 14.1 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL), and the whole mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the concentrate was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with hexane/EtOAc = $1/1$ to afford the title compound (4.92 g, 8.46 mmol, 90%) as a colorless gum. ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.33–0.37 $(2H, m)$, 0.63–0.67 $(2H, m)$, 1.22–1.32 $(1H, m)$, 1.66 $(3H, d, J = 7.3)$ Hz), 2.58−2.66 (2H, m), 3.04−3.12 (2H, m), 3.24 (3H, s), 3.80 (2H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.28–4.34 (3H, m), 4.68 (1H, d, J = 10.5 Hz), 5.09– 5.13 (1H, m), 5.53−5.69 (1H, m), 5.76−5.83 (2H, m), 6.82−6.88 (1H, m), 6.95−6.99 (2H, m), 7.22−7.64 (5H, m), 7.92−7.96 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{30}H_{35}N_3NaO_7S$ [M + Na]⁺ 604.2093, found 604.2127.

(R,E)-5-(3-Benzoyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)- N-(1-(3-(cyclopentyloxy)phenyl)ethyl)-N-(methoxymethyl) pent-3-ene-1-sulfonamide (42b). 42b was prepared from 41b (306 mg, 0.77 mmol) as described for the preparation of 42a, colorless gum (420 mg, 0.71 mmol, 92%). ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.53-1.68 (5H, m), 1.75−1.94 (6H, m), 2.59−2.67 (2H, m), 3.03−3.15 (2H, m), 3.24 (3H, s), 4.32–4.37 (3H, m), 4.68 (1H, d, J = 10.6 Hz), 4.74–4.78 (1H, m), 5.10 (1H, q, J = 7.3 Hz), 5.56−5.67 (1H, m), 5.77−5.81 (2H, m), 6.78−6.82 (1H, m), 6.93−6.95 (2H, m), 7.21−7.26 (1H, m), 7.31 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.43−7.56 (2H, m), 7.62−7.70 (1H, m), 7.92−7.96 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{31}H_{37}N_3NaO_7S$ [M + Na]+ 618.2250, found 618.2248.

(R,E)-5-(3-Benzoyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)- N-(1-(3-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)phenyl)ethyl)-N-(methoxymethyl) pent-3-ene-1-sulfonamide (42c). 42c was prepared from 41c (173 mg, 0.44 mmol) as described for the preparation of 42a, colorless gum $(211 \text{ mg}, 0.36 \text{ mmol}, 81\%).$ ¹H NMR $(270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.67 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.60–2.68 (2H, m), 3.03–3.12 (2H, m), 3.25 (3H, s), 4.19 (2H, td, J = 13.2, 4.3 Hz), 4.28–4.36 (3H, m), 4.69 (1H, d, J = 10.6 Hz), 5.09−5.14 (1H, m), 5.57−5.67 (1H, m), 5.77−5.90 (2H, m), 6.09 (1H, tt, J = 55.1, 4.1 Hz), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 8.6, 3.0 Hz), 7.01 (1H, s), 7.07 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.25−7.29 (2H, m), 7.43−7.56 (2H, m), 7.62−7.70 (1H, m), 7.92−7.96 (2H, m). HRMS (FAB) calcd for $C_{28}H_{31}F_2N_3NaO_7S$ $[M + Na]^+$ 614.1748, found 614.1741.

(R,E)-N-(1-(3-(Cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)ethyl)-5-(2,4 dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)pent-3-ene-1-sulfona**mide (43).** A solution of 42a (4.90 g, 8.42 mmol) in 40% MeNH₂ in MeOH (100 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was coevaporated with toluene two times. This residue was dissolved in 4 N HCl/dioxane (30.0 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h and then poured into saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $CHCl₃/MeOH$ (10/1) three times. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with CHCl₃/EtOAc = $3/1$ to afford the title compound (2.73 g, 6.30) mmol, 75% from $42a$) as a colorless gum. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.33–0.37 (2H, m), 0.62–0.68 (2H, m), 1.22–1.31 (1H, m), 1.53 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.36−2.47 (2H, m), 2.66−2.73 (1H, m), 2.79−2.86 (1H, m), 3.80 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 4.20−4.29 (2H, m), 4.59 (1H, quin, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.66 (1H, brs), 5.42−5.49 (1H, m), 5.54−5.61 (1H, m), 5.71 (1H, dd, J = 7.8, 2.0 Hz), 6.80–6.83 (1H, m), 6.86– 6.92 (2H, m), 7.12 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.24–7.29 (1H, m), 8.25 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.2, 10.2, 24.0, 26.5, 49.3, 52.5, 53.7, 72.8, 102.4, 112.8, 113.6, 118.4, 125.6, 129.9, 132.1, 143.8, 144.3, 150.7, 159.4, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{27}N_3O_5S$: C, 58.18; H, 6.28; N, 9.69. Found: C, 57.89; H, 6.34; N, 9.53. $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D +17.4 (c 0.42, MeOH).

(R,E)-N-(1-(3-(Cyclopentyloxy)phenyl)ethyl)-5-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)pent-3-ene-1-sulfonamide (44). 44 was prepared from 42b (420 mg, 0.71 mmol) as described for the preparation of 43, colorless gum (89.0 mg, 0.20 mmol, 28%). $^1{\rm H}$ NMR $(270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 1.53 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.61–1.71 (2H, m), 1.77−1.93 (6H, m), 2.33−2.48 (2H, m), 2.69−2.85 (2H, m), 4.22− 4.25 (2H, m), 4.51−4.58 (2H, m), 4.75−4.79 (1H, m), 5.47−5.68 (2H, m), 5.70 (1H, dd, J = 7.8, 2.2 Hz), 6.74−6.87 (3H, m), 7.12 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.21−7.26 (1H, m), 8.28 (1H, brs). 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 23.5, 24.1, 26.0, 32.2, 48.1, 51.2, 52.8, 78.4, 101.1, 113.3, 113.8, 118.0, 125.9, 129.4, 130.5, 145.0, 145.6, 150.7, 157.7, 163.7. Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₉N₃O₅S·0.5H₂O: C, 57.88; H, 6.62; N, 9.20. Found: C, 57.76; H, 6.33; N, 9.14. $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D +17.9 (c 0.48, MeOH).

(R,E)-N-(1-(3-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)phenyl)ethyl)-5-(2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)pent-3-ene-1-sulfonamide (45). 45 was prepared from 42c (210 mg, 0.35 mmol) as described for the preparation of 43, colorless gum (70.0 mg, 0.16 mmol, 46%). $^1{\rm H}$ NMR $(270 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.54 (3H, d, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}), 2.36-2.48 (2H, m),$ 2.68−2.87 (2H, m), 4.14−4.25 (4H, m), 4.61 (1H, quin, J = 6.9 Hz), 4.94 (1H, brs), 5.42−5.60 (2H, m), 5.72 (1H, d, J = 6.5 Hz), 6.10 (1H, tt, J = 55.1 Hz, 4.1 Hz), 6.81–7.06 (3H, m), 7.13 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.26−7.31 (1H, m), 8.64 (1H, brs). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.0, 26.5, 49.4, 52.5, 53.5, 67.2 (t, J = 24.1 Hz), 102.4, 112.8, 113.5, 113.6 (t, J = 244.0 Hz), 119.8, 125.6, 130.2, 131.8, 144.0, 144.8, 150.8, 158.1, 163.9. HRMS (TOF) calcd for $C_{19}H_{24}F_2N_3O_5S$ $[M + H]^+$ 444.1405, found 444.1412. HPLC purity: 96.2%, t_R = 4.90 min (method B). $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D +16.4 (c 0.22, MeOH).

Molecular Modeling Studies. All molecular modeling was performed using the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE, version 2010.10), developed by Chemical Computing Group, Inc. (Montreal, Canada). Ligand structures were built using MOE builder tool, part of the MOE suite, and were subjected to MMFF94x energy minimization until rmsd gradient was <0.05 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻¹. .

Hydrogen atoms were added to the X-ray cocrystal structure of dUTPase and compound 7 (PDB code 3ARN³⁵), and the energy of the structure was minimized keeping fixed the atoms of the mainframe. The models 8 and 9 were constructed on the b[as](#page-13-0)is of crystal structure conformation of compound 7 followed by energy minimization. A part of the flexible linker (-O-CH₂-CH₂-CH₂-) of compound 7 has enough planarity to perform the modeling (dihedral angle is 35°). Molecular graphics were prepared using the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, version 1.2r3pre (Schrö dinger, LLC).

Cloning, Expression, and Purification of Recombinant Human dUTPase. The cDNA of human dUTPase was subcloned into the expression vector pET19b. The construct was then transformed into E. coli BL21(DE3) cells (Novagen) in Luria broth at 37 °C. Protein expression was induced with 0.01 mM isopropyl-β-Dthiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at an optical density of 0.6 at 595 nm. The cell pellet was resuspended in ice-cold lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). After sonication, the disrupted debris was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant was applied to Ni-NTA affinity gels, and the 6× His-Tag was removed by digestion with enterokinase in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT for 12 h. The protein solutions used for crystallization were gel-filtered in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT, on a preparative grade Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

dUTPase Inhibition Assay. In vitro dUTPase inhibition assays were conducted by measuring the production of [5-3H]dUMP from [5- 3 H]dUTP. Breifly, 0.2 mL of a solution containing 0.02 mL of 1 μ M dUTP (including 588 Bq/mL [5-3 H]dUTP), 0.05 mL of 0.2 M Tris buffer solution (pH 7.4), 0.05 mL of 16 mM magnesium chloride, 0.02 mL of 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.02 mL of a 1% aqueous solution of fetal bovine serum-derived albumin, 0.02 mL of varying concentrations of test compound solutions or pure water as a control, and 0.02 mL of a solution of human dUTPase was reacted at 37 °C for 15 min. After the reaction, the solution was immediately heated at 100 °C for 1 min to terminate the reaction and then centrifuged at 15 000 rpm for 2 min. An aliquot (150 μ L) of the supernatant thus obtained by centrifugation was analyzed using an Atlantis C18 column (manufactured by Waters Corp., 4.6 mm \times 250 mm) and a highperformance liquid chromatograph (manufactured by Shimadzu Corp., Prominence). The inhibition rate of the compound was determined according to the formula shown below.

inhibition rate $(\%) = 1 - [$ (amount of [5⁻³H]dUMP in the

presence of test solution (dpm))

/(amount of $[5\text{-}3]$ H

 \lfloor dUMP as control $(dpm))$] \times 100

IC₅₀ (μ M), the concentration of inhibitor yielding 50% inhibition rate, was obtained from the concentration−inhibition rate curve.

In Vitro Cell Inhibition Assays. HeLa S3 (human cervix adenocarcinoma) cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. Exponentially growing cells were seeded in 96-well plates (1500 cells/0.18 mL) and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere for 24 h. Vehicle-control (DMSO) and test compounds (1–100 μ M) were added to the plates at 20 μ L per well, and the plates were incubated for 72 h. Cell proliferation was determined by the crystal violet assay. Optical density at 540 nm $(OD₅₄₀)$ was measured by plate reader. Then we calculated T/C (%), which is the ratio of OD_{540} with drug treatment to OD_{540} without drug: T/C (%) = $[(OD₅₄₀ of treated well)/(OD₅₄₀ of nontreated$ well)] \times 100. The IC₅₀ (μ M) for the cytotoxicity of the test compound is the concentration yielding 50% T/C , which was calculated from concentration $-T/C$ (%) curve.

In Vitro Cell Inhibition Assays in Combination with FdUrd. HeLa S3 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (1500 cells/0.18 mL) and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere as described above. After 24 h, vehicle-control (DMSO) and test compounds (1− 100 $μ$ M) in combination with FdUrd (1 $μ$ M) were added to the plates at a volume of 20 μ L per well and incubated for 24 h. Then thymidine (30 μ M) was added to the plates at a volume of 10 μ L per well and incubated for 48 h. Cell proliferation was determined by the crystal violet assay as mentioned above. The EC_{50} was calculated from the concentration− T/C (%) curve as a concentration of each compound that reduces the T/C (%) of FdUrd (1 $\mu{\rm M})$ against HeLa S3 cells to half in 24 h.

Pharmacokinetic Studies. Experiments were conducted with 6 week-old to 9-week-old male Balb/c-A mice. Compound 43 was administered to mice orally at a dose of 50 mg/kg in a solution containing 2.5% DMA/2.5% Tween 80 and 10% Cremophor EL. The concentration of 43 in the plasma was determined by ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC).

Evaluation of Antitumor Efficacy of Compound 43. Fiveweek-old Balb/cA JcL-nu mice were obtained from Clea Japan, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). MX-1 human breast carcinoma (Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research) was maintained by subcutaneous (sc) transplantation in mice. Briefly, tumors were excised and fragments (approximately 2 mm in diameter) were implanted sc using a trocar. After implantation, the animals were divided into four groups and treated either with vehicle (2.5% DMA, 2.5% Tween 80, 10% Cremophor, and 0.5% HPMC), 5-FU $(15 \text{ mg } \text{kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1})$ by continuous infusion using osmotic pump for 14 days, and compound 43 (300 mg kg⁻¹ mg⁻¹) by po for 14 days or with a combination of 5-FU (15 mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) and compound 43 (300 mg kg⁻¹ mg⁻¹). Tumor size and body weight were measured twice weekly. Tumor volume (TV) was estimated with the formula TV $(nm³)$ = length (mm) \times width (mm) \times width (mm) \times 0.5. Relative tumor volume (RTV) on day 15 was calculated as the ratio of TV on day 15 to that on day 0 according to the following formula: RTV = (TV on day 15)/ (TV on day 0). Body weight change (%) on day 15 was calculated according to the following formula: body weight change $(\%) = [(\text{body}$ weight on day $15) - (body weight on day 0)$]/(body weight on day 0) \times 100.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Synthetic procedures and characterization data for compounds 36b−c and 41a−c. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Accession Codes

The PDB cod[e of compound](http://pubs.acs.org) 7 with human dUTPase is $3ARN³⁶$

■ A[UT](#page-13-0)HOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: 81-29-865-4527. Fax: 81-29-865-2170. E-mail: mfukuoka@taiho.co.jp.

Notes

[The authors declare](mailto:m-fukuoka@taiho.co.jp) no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Tadafumi Terada and Dr. Kazuharu Noguchi (Taiho Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.) for valuable comments and Satoko Tanaka for technical assistance. We are grateful to the staff of The Pharmaceutical Industry Beamline (BL32B2) for collecting the X-ray crystallography diffraction data and the staff of Tsukuba Research Center of Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

■ ABBREVIATIONS USED

dUTPase, deoxyuridine triphosphatase; dUTP, 2′-deoxyuridine 5′-triphosphate; dTTP, thymidine 5′-triphosphate; dUMP, 2′ deoxyuridine 5′-monophosphate; TS, thymidylate synthase; 5FU, 5-fluorouracil; FdUrd, 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine; FdUTP, 5 fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine 5′-triphosphate; HCC, hepatocelluar carcinoma; SAR, structure−activity relationship

■ REFERENCES

(1) Longley, D. B.; Harkin, D. P.; Johnston, P. G. 5-Fluorouracil: mechanisms of action and clinical strategies. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 330−338.

(2) Danneberg, P. B.; Montag, B. J.; Heidelberger, C. Studies on fluorinated pyrimidines. IV. Effects on nucleic acid metabolism in vivo. Cancer Res. 1958, 18, 329−334.

(3) Harrap, K. R.; Jackman, A. L.; Newell, D. R.; Taylor, G. A.; Hughes, L. R.; Calvert, A. H. Thymidylate synthase: a target for anticancer drug design. Adv. Enzyme Regul. 1989, 29, 161−179.

(4) Jackman, A. L.; Calvert, A. H. Folate-based thymidylate synthase inhibitors as anticancer drugs. Ann. Oncol. 1995, 6, 871−881.

(5) Popat, S.; Matakidou, A.; Houlston, R. S. Thymidylate synthase expression and prognosis in colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Clin. Oncol. 2004, 22, 529−536.

(6) Aherne, G. W.; Hardcastle, A.; Raynaud, F.; Jackman, A. L. Immunoreactive dUMP and TTP pools as an index of thymidylate synthase inhibition; effect of tomudex (ZD1694) and a nonpolyglutamated quinazoline antifolate (CB30900) in L1210 mouse leukaemia cells. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1996, 51, 1293−1301.

(7) Mitrovski, B.; Pressacco, J.; Mandelbaum, S.; Erlichman, C. Biochemical effects of folate-based inhibitors of thymidylate synthase in MGH-U1 cells. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 1994, 35, 109−114. (8) Cheng, Y. C.; Nakayama, K. Effects of 5-fluoro-2′-deoxyuridine on DNA metabolism in HeLa cells. Mol. Pharmacol. 1983, 23, 171− 174.

(9) Ingraham, H. A.; Tseng, B. Y.; Goulian, M. Nucleotide levels and incorporation of 5-fluorouracil and uracil into DNA of cells treated with 5-fluorodeoxyuridine. Mol. Pharmacol. 1982, 21, 211−216.

(10) Curtin, N. J.; Harris, A. L.; Aherne, G. W. Mechanism of cell death following thymidylate synthase inhibition: 2′-deoxyuridine-5′ triphosphate accumulation, DNA damage, and growth inhibition following exposure to CB3717 and dipyridamole. Cancer Res. 1991, 51, 2346−2352.

(11) Webley, S. D.; Hardcastle, A.; Ladner, R. D.; Jackman, A. L.; Aherne, G. W. Deoxyuridine triphosphatase (dUTPase) expression and sensitivity to the thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibitor ZD9331. Br. J. Cancer 2000, 83, 792−799.

(12) Koehler, S. E.; Ladner, R. D. Small interfering RNA-mediated suppression of dUTPase sensitizes cancer cell lines to thymidylate synthase inhibition. Mol. Pharmacol. 2004, 66, 620−626.

(13) An, Q.; Robins, P.; Lindahl, T.; Barnes, D. E. 5-Fluorouracil incorporated into DNA is excised by the Smug1 DNA glycosylase to reduce drug cytotoxicity. Cancer Res. 2007, 67, 940−945.

(14) Shlomai, J.; Kornberg, A. Deoxyuridine triphosphatase of Escherichia coli. Purification, properties, and use as a reagent to reduce uracil incorporation into DNA. J. Biol. Chem. 1978, 253, 3305−3312. (15) Vertessy, B. G.; Toth, J. Keeping uracil out of DNA: physiological role, structure and catalytic mechanism of dUTPases. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 97−106.

(16) Bertani, L. E.; Haeggmark, A.; Reichard, P. Enzymatic synthesis of deoxyribonucleotides. II. Formation and interconversion of deoxyuridine phosphates. J. Biol. Chem. 1963, 238, 3407−3413.

(17) Grindey, G. R.; Nichol, C. A. Mammalian deoxyuridine 5′ triphosphate pyrophosphatase. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1971, 240, 180− 183.

(18) Caradonna, S. J.; Cheng, Y. C. The role of deoxyuridine triphosphate nucleotidohydrolase, uracil−DNA glycosylase, and DNA polymerase alpha in the metabolism of FUdR in human tumor cells. Mol. Pharmacol. 1980, 18, 513−520.

(19) Canman, C. E.; Lawrence, T. S.; Shewach, D. S.; Tang, H. Y.; Maybaum, J. Resistance to fluorodeoxyuridine-induced DNA damage and cytotoxicity correlates with an elevation of deoxyuridine

Journal of Medicinal Chemistry Article Article 1996 and 2008 and 20

triphosphatase activity and failure to accumulate deoxyuridine triphosphate. Cancer Res. 1993, 53, 5219−5224.

(20) Canman, C. E.; Radany, E. H.; Parsels, L. A.; Davis, M. A.; Lawrence, T. S.; Maybaum, J. Induction of resistance to fluorodeoxyuridine cytotoxicity and DNA damage in human tumor cells by expression of Escherichia coli deoxyuridinetriphosphatase. Cancer Res. 1994, 54, 2296−2298.

(21) Wilson, P. M.; Fazzone, W.; LaBonte, M. J.; Deng, J.; Neamati, N.; Ladner, R. D. Novel opportunities for thymidylate metabolism as a therapeutic target. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2008, 7, 3029−3037.

(22) Webley, S. D.; Welsh, S. J.; Jackman, A. L.; Aherne, G. W. The ability to accumulate deoxyuridine triphosphate and cellular response to thymidylate synthase (TS) inhibition. Br. J. Cancer 2001, 85, 446− 452.

(23) Ladner, R. D.; Lynch, F. J.; Groshen, S.; Xiong, Y. P.; Sherrod, A.; Caradonna, S. J.; Stoehlmacher, J.; Lenz, H. J. dUTP nucleotidohydrolase isoform expression in normal and neoplastic tissues: association with survival and response to 5-fluorouracil in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res. 2000, 60, 3493−3503.

(24) Takatori, H.; Yamashita, T.; Honda, M.; Nishino, R.; Arai, K.; Takamura, H.; Ohta, T.; Zen, Y.; Kaneko, S. dUTP pyrophosphatase expression correlates with a poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Liver Int. 2010, 30, 438−446.

(25) Zalud, P.; Wachs, W. O.; Nyman, P. O.; Zeppezauer, M. M. Inhibition of the proliferation of human cancer cells in-vitro by substrate-analogous inhibitors of dUTPase. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 1994, 370, 135−138.

(26) Persson, T.; Larsson, G.; Nyman, P. O. Synthesis of 2′ deoxyuridine 5′-(alpha,beta-imido) triphosphate: a substrate analogue and potent inhibitor of dUTPase. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1996, 4, 553− 556.

(27) Pecsi, I.; Leveles, I.; Harmat, V.; Vertessy, B. G.; Toth, J. Aromatic stacking between nucleobase and enzyme promotes phosphate ester hydrolysis in dUTPase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010, 38, 7179−7186.

(28) Kovari, J.; Barabas, O.; Varga, B.; Bekesi, A.; Tolgyesi, F.; Fidy, J.; Nagy, J.; Vertessy, B. G. Methylene substitution at the alpha-beta bridging position within the phosphate chain of dUDP profoundly perturbs ligand accommodation into the dUTPase active site. Proteins 2008, 71, 308−319.

(29) Nguyen, C.; Kasinathan, G.; Leal-Cortijo, I.; Musso-Buendia, A.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R.; Ruiz-Perez, L. M.; Johansson, N. G.; Gonzalez-Pacanowska, D.; Gilbert, I. H. Deoxyuridine triphosphate nucleotidohydrolase as a potential antiparasitic drug target. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 5942−5954.

(30) Whittingham, J. L.; Leal, I.; Nguyen, C.; Kasinathan, G.; Bell, E.; Jones, A. F.; Berry, C.; Benito, A.; Turkenburg, J. P.; Dodson, E. J.; Ruiz Perez, L. M.; Wilkinson, A. J.; Johansson, N. G.; Brun, R.; Gilbert, I. H.; Gonzalez Pacanowska, D.; Wilson, K. S. dUTPase as a platform for antimalarial drug design: structural basis for the selectivity of a class of nucleoside inhibitors. Structure 2005, 13, 329−338.

(31) Nguyen, C.; Ruda, G. F.; Schipani, A.; Kasinathan, G.; Leal, I.; Musso-Buendia, A.; Kaiser, M.; Brun, R.; Ruiz-Perez, L. M.; Sahlberg, B. L.; Johansson, N. G.; Gonzalez-Pacanowska, D.; Gilbert, I. H. Acyclic nucleoside analogues as inhibitors of Plasmodium falciparum dUTPase. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 4183−4195.

(32) Jiang, Y. L.; Chung, S.; Krosky, D. J.; Stivers, J. T. Synthesis and high-throughput evaluation of triskelion uracil libraries for inhibition of human dUTPase and UNG2. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 5666− 5672.

(33) Barabas, O.; Pongracz, V.; Kovari, J.; Wilmanns, M.; Vertessy, B. G. Structural insights into the catalytic mechanism of phosphate ester hydrolysis by dUTPase. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 42907−42915.

(34) Hampton, S. E.; Baragana, B.; Schipani, A.; Bosch-Navarrete, C.; Musso-Buendia, J. A.; Recio, E.; Kaiser, M.; Whittingham, J. L.; Roberts, S. M.; Shevtsov, M.; Brannigan, J. A.; Kahnberg, P.; Brun, R.; Wilson, K. S.; Gonzalez-Pacanowska, D.; Johansson, N. G.; Gilbert, I. H. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of 5′-diphenyl nucleoside

analogues as inhibitors of the Plasmodium falciparum dUTPase. ChemMedChem 2011, 6, 1816−1831.

(35) Miyakoshi, H.; Miyahara, S.; Yokogawa, T.; Chong, K. T.; Taguchi, J.; Endo, K.; Yano, W.; Wakasa, T.; Ueno, H.; Takao, Y.; Nomura, M.; Shuto, S.; Nagasawa, H.; Fukuoka, M. Synthesis and discovery of N-carbonylpyrrolidine- or N-sulfonylpyrrolidine-containing uracil derivatives as potent human deoxyuridine triphosphatase inhibitors. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 2960−2969.

(36) Miyahara, S.; Miyakoshi, H.; Yokogawa, T.; Chong, K. T.; Taguchi, J.; Muto, T.; Endoh, K.; Yano, W.; Wakasa, T.; Ueno, H.; Takao, Y.; Fujioka, A.; Hashimoto, A.; Ito, K.; Yamamura, K.; Nomura, M.; Nagasawa, H.; Shuto, S.; Fukuoka, M. Discovery of a novel class of potent human deoxyuridine triphosphatase inhibitors remarkably enhancing the antitumor activity of thymidylate synthase inhibitor. J. Med. Chem. 2012, 55, 2970−2980.

(37) Miyakoshi, H.; Miyahara, S.; Yokogawa, T.; Chong, K. T.; Taguchi, J.; Muto, T.; Endoh, K.; Yano, W.; Wakasa, T.; Ueno, H.; Takao, Y.; Fujioka, A.; Hashimoto, A.; Itou, K.; Yamamura, K.; Nomura, M.; Nagasawa, H.; Shuto, S.; Fukuoka, M. 1,2,3-Triazolecontaining uracil derivatives with excellent pharmacokinetics as a novel class of potent human deoxyuridine triphosphatase inhibitors. J. Med. Chem., submitted.

(38) Silverman, R. B. The Organic Chemistry of Drug Design and Drug Action; Academia Press: San Diego, CA, 2004.

(39) Watanabe, M.; Hirokawa, T.; Kobayashi, T.; Yoshida, A.; Ito, Y.; Yamada, S.; Orimoto, N.; Yamasaki, Y.; Arisawa, M.; Shuto, S. Investigation of the bioactive conformation of histamine H3 receptor antagonists by the cyclopropylic strain-based conformational restriction strategy. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 53, 3585−3593.

(40) Chang, C. E.; Chen, W.; Gilson, M. K. Ligand configurational entropy and protein binding. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2007, 104, 1534−1539.

(41) Mobley, D. L.; Dill, K. A. Binding of small-molecule ligands to proteins: "What you see" is not always "what you get". Structure 2009, 17, 489−498.

(42) Mol, C. D.; Harris, J. M.; McIntosh, E. M.; Tainer, J. A. Human dUTP pyrophosphatase: uracil recognition by a beta hairpin and active sites formed by three separate subunits. Structure 1996, 4, 1077−1092.